Case5:05-cv-00334-RMW Document310 Filed08/22/07 Page1 of 6 1 [Attorney list on signature page] 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 5 SAN JOSE DIVISION 6 7 HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC.; HYNIX CASE NO. CV 00-20905 RMW SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC.; HYNIX 8 SEMICONDUCTOR U.K. LTD.; and HYNIX [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SEMICONDUCTOR DEUTSCHLAND GmbH. PRODUCTION OF MATERIALS 9 SUBJECT TO PRIVILEGE PIERCING Plaintiff. ORDERS IN RELATED CASES 10 VS. 11 RAMBUS INC., 12 Defendant. 13 RAMBUS INC., CASE NO. C 05-00334 RMW 14 Plaintiff, 15 v. 16 HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC., HYNIX 17 SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING AMERICA INC., 18 19 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., 20 SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR. 21 L.P.. 22 NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION 23 U.S.A.. 24 Defendants. 25 26 27

28

Case5:05-cv-00334-RMW Document310 Filed08/22/07 Page2 of 6

1		-	
2	RAMBUS INC.,	CASE NO. C 05 02298 RMW	
3	Plaintiff,		
4	V.		
5	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,		
6 7	SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, L.P.,		
8	Defendants.		
9	RAMBUS INC.,	CASE NO. C 06-00244 RMW	
10	Plaintiff,		
11	v.		
12	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC.,		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15			
16			
17	A 4 (1)	a before the Court on Account 2, 2007. Here's	
18	At the case management conference before the Court on August 3, 2007, Hynix		
19	Semiconductor Inc., Hynix Semiconductor America, Inc., Hynix Semiconductor U.K. Ltd., Hynix		
20	Semiconductor Deutschland GmbH, and Hynix Semiconductor Manufacturing America Inc.		
21	(collectively, "Hynix"), Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,		
22	Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., and Samsung Austin Semiconductor, L.P. (collectively,		
23	"Samsung,"), Nanya Technology Corporation and Nanya Technology Corporation U.S.A.		
24	(collectively, "Nanya"), and Micron Technology, Inc. and Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc.		
25	(collectively, "Micron") requested that the Court order Rambus Inc. ("Rambus") to produce all		

26 | Semiconductor, Inc. et al. v. Rambus Inc., Civ. A. No. 00-20905 (N.D. Cal. filed Aug. 29, 2000) 27 |

("Hynix I case") and Micron Technology, Inc. v. Rambus Inc., Civ. A. No. 00-792 (D. Del filed

materials that Rambus has been ordered to produce pursuant to privilege piercing orders in *Hynix*

25

28

Aug. 28, 2000) ("Micron Delaware case") to the parties adverse to Rambus in each of the above-captioned cases to the extent it had not already done so. Rambus opposed this request. Having considered the parties' arguments and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Rambus shall produce all materials that Rambus has been ordered to produce pursuant to privilege piercing orders in the Hynix I case to Hynix, Samsung, Nanya and Micron in each of the above-captioned cases to the extent it has not already done so, including without limitation the materials subject to the Court's Orders dated February 26, 2004, January 31, 2005, February 28, 2005, August 26, 2005, October 3, 2005, October 19, 2005, and October 20, 2005, and all discovery and testimony taken in connection with such materials, including without limitation documents, interrogatory responses, deposition testimony and exhibits, trial testimony and exhibits, and declarations.
- 2. Rambus shall produce all materials that Rambus has been ordered to produce pursuant to privilege piercing orders in the Micron Delaware case to Hynix, Samsung, Nanya and Micron in each of the above-captioned cases to the extent it has not already done so, including without limitation the materials subject to the Court's Orders dated May 16, 2001, February 10, 2006, and June 15, 2006, and all discovery and testimony taken in connection with such materials, including without limitation documents, interrogatory responses, deposition testimony and exhibits, trial testimony and exhibits, and declarations.
- 3. Rambus shall produce the materials identified above within 15 business days of entry of this Order without the need for further requests by Hynix, Samsung, Nanya or Micron.
- 4. By producing these materials in response to this Order, Rambus is not waiving any protection to which it is otherwise entitled under the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine, and nothing in this Order shall preclude Rambus from challenging the admissibility of any documents or testimony at any trial on any basis, including without limitation on the basis of the attorney-client privilege and/or work product protection.

Case5:05-cv-00334-RMW Document310 Filed08/22/07 Page4 of 6 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: _____ Honorable Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge

APPROVED AS TO FORM: 1 2 DATED: August 20, 2007 Respectfully submitted, 3 FOR THE MANUFACTURERS 4 5 By /s/ Hal Barza 6 Harold A. Barza William Price 7 Robert Becher QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES 8 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 9 Jared Bobrow 10 John D. Beynon 10 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 11 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 11 12 Attorneys for 12 MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., and 13 MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. 13 14 14 15 15 By /s/ Anita Kadala 16 David J. Healev 16 Anita E. Kadala 17 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 17 Houston, TX 77002 18 18 Alan J. Weinschel WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 19 19 New York, NY 10153 20 20 Matthew D. Powers Edward R. Reines 21 21 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 22 22 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 23 Attorneys for 23 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., 24 24 SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., and 25 SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, L.P. 25 26 26 27 27 28 28

1		
2	By /s/ Robert Freitas	
3	Robert E. Freitas Kai Tseng	
4	Craig R. Kaufman Denise M. Mingrone	
5	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP Menlo Park, CA 94025	
6	Attorneys for	
7	NANYÅ TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION and NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION U.S.A.	
8	By /s/ Theodore G. Brown	
9	Theodore G. Brown, III TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP	
10 11	379 Lytton Avenue Palo Alto, California 94301	
12	Attorneys for	
13	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC., HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR	
14	MANUFACTURING AMERICA INC., HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR U.K. LTD., and HYNIX SEMICONDUCTO DEUTSCHLAND GmbH	
15	DECISCIENT D GIIIOTI	
16		
17	APPROVED ONLY AS TO FORM AND NOT AS TO CONTENT:	
1819	DATED: August 20, 2007	
20	FOR RAMBUS INC.	
21	By /s/ Carolyn Luedtke	
22	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street, 27 th Floor	
23	San Francisco, CA 94105	
24	Attorneys for RAMBUS INC.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case5:05-cv-00334-RMW Document310 Filed08/22/07 Page6 of 6